

CAMBRIDGE CITY COUNCIL

REPORT OF: Director of Environment

TO: South Area Committee 12/11/2012

WARDS: Cherry Hinton, Queen Edith's and Trumpington

**DEVOLVED DECISION-MAKING AND DEVELOPER CONTRIBUTIONS:
UPDATE FOLLOWING THE SOUTH AREA WORKSHOP**

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Council has agreed to devolve to area committees decision-making on how to spend the developer contributions being made available to each area. This report summarises ideas for how the money could be spent in the South Area, following local public consultation in early October 2012.
- 1.2 The South Area Committee is now invited to prioritise which capital projects for new or improved local facilities to take forward from a list of proposals (see Section 5) that would be eligible for developer contributions funding and could be delivered in the short-term (by the end of March 2014). There will be a follow-up report in early 2013 so that the Area Committee can take forward the process of identifying longer-term project priorities.

2. RECOMMENDATIONS

The South Area Committee is asked:

- 2.1 to note the summary of all consultation feedback arising from the South Area workshop and related emails;
- 2.2 to identify which of the eligible proposals that are deliverable in the short-term to prioritise for delivery, subject to project appraisal and the identification of appropriate funding to meet any related revenue and maintenance costs;
- 2.3 whether it would wish to raise any issues about the possible uses of city-wide developer contributions funding or comment on any of the strategic proposals emanating from the South Area, which are due to be reported to the Community Services Scrutiny Committee in January 2013.

3. BACKGROUND

- 3.1 **Developer contributions:** Developers are often asked to make financial contributions to the city council to address the impact of their developments on Cambridge. These payments have to be used in line with the national and local planning policy and the purposes and conditions set out in legal (Section 106) agreements.
- 3.2 Since 2007, the city council has spent over £7.5 million of developer contributions to fund off-site projects across the city. Amongst other projects, this has helped to fund community centres, sports facilities, open spaces, play areas and improvements to the public realm. (See the [Developer Contributions](#) web page for more information). Details of projects costing more than £15,000 in the South Area can be found in Appendix A.
- 3.3 **Devolved decision-making:** The council has agreed to devolve to area committees decision-making about projects to be funded from the following types of developer contributions: community facilities, informal open space; play provision for children and teenagers; indoor sports facilities; outdoor sports facilities (and the previous 'formal open space' category); public art and public realm. For more details, see the scrutiny committee reports listed in Section 10.
- 3.4 The initial aim is for each area to deliver several projects (perhaps in the region of £150,000 - £200,000 in total) by the end of March 2014. Alongside this, the Council is looking to prioritise and deliver several larger projects that make a difference to the city as a whole: these will be reported to the Community Services Scrutiny Committee and approved by the relevant Executive Councillor.
- 3.5 **Funding for projects in the area:** In broad terms, the funding that area committees can now spend is based on:
- a. 50% of the developer contributions arising from the major planning applications from the area determined by the city council's Planning Committee (with the other 50% helping to fund strategic projects benefiting more than one area or the entire city); and
 - b. 100% of all other contributions from planning applications from the area (eg, those determined by the area committee itself).
- 3.6 Appendix B shows the provisional analysis (from September 2012) of developer contributions available (received but not yet allocated) to the South Area Committee and the overall city-wide fund.

- 3.7 **Area workshops:** To help inform the decisions to be made by the area committees, public consultation workshops took place in each of the city's four areas. The South Area workshop was the third to be held (on the evening of Thursday 4 October at The Perse Upper School on Hills Road). The event was publicised on the council's website and by Facebook, Twitter, new releases and posters displayed at places where community groups meet across the South area. Invitations were also sent to local residents' associations and community groups. Over 25 members of the public attended the event, alongside local city and county councillors, and this helped to generate lively discussion and lots of ideas.
- 3.8 Workshop participants were given a 2-page briefing paper (see Appendix F) including population forecasts, examples of existing local facilities and on-going local projects funded by developer contributions, as well as the provisional funding analysis. Before the discussion groups, there was a series of short presentations covering how the different types of developer contributions could be used.
- 3.9 The purpose of the event was to invite local views on current gaps in the provision of community centres, sports facilities, open spaces and play areas and public realm in the area, as well as ideas for new or improved facilities that could help to meet those needs. Whilst council officers were on hand to provide background advice as/when requested, the focus of the workshops was community-led.

4. CONSULTATION FEEDBACK

- 4.1 The South Area consultation (both the workshop and comments by email) generated over 70 ideas for projects as well as some queries about the devolved decision-making process itself (see Appendix D). Recurring themes included needs for:
- a. community facilities, cafés, meeting places and drop-in centres;
 - b. better facilities and activities for older children and teenagers (including refurbished skate parks);
 - c. new sports pavilions with better changing rooms, toilets and storage (as well as community rooms);
 - d. better play areas for younger children; and
 - e. trim trails and fitness/exercise equipment at recreation grounds.
- 4.2 Appendix C summarises the project ideas from the consultation and presents them by the ward from which they came or to which they relate. Officers have assessed these projects in terms of eligibility and deliverability.

- 4.3 Eligibility: Developer contributions funding can normally be used for capital projects (not running or maintenance costs) for new/improved facilities (not just replacements) related to city council contribution types (not transport), which would be open for community use.
- 4.4 Deliverability: This is about whether projects could be completed in the short-term (by the end of March 2014) or would take longer.
- a. Projects are likely to take longer the more preliminary steps need to be taken, particularly where facilities/land are not in the city council's ownership. These steps can include: drawing up plans; consulting on concepts/principles; obtaining planning permission; securing community grants and other funding (not least for running costs and maintenance); signing up to community use agreements and/or undertaking fresh commissioning/procurement exercises.
 - b. It is also important to note that it is not going to be possible to take forward public art and public realm improvement projects in the short-term in the South Area as there are currently no unallocated/unstipulated contributions available for those contribution types.

5. OPTIONS

- 5.1 This section focuses on the 17 proposals identified as both eligible for developer contributions funding and deliverable in the short-term. The Area Committee is asked to identify which of these proposals it would wish to prioritise for delivery – perhaps focussing on between three to five projects to take forward.
- 5.2 In identifying this initial set of priorities, the Area Committee will need to be mindful of:
- a. the available funding across the different contribution types for the South Area. The provisional analysis set out in Appendix B shows that there is currently around:
 - £200,000 for community facilities
 - £275,000 for informal open space
 - £155,000 for formal open space/outdoor sports facilities
 - £5,000 for indoor sports facilities and
 - £75,000 for play provision for children and teenagers; and
 - b. its broad aspirations for taking forward longer-term projects in due course (see paragraph 6.3) – that is how much money the Area Committee may wish to hold back to spend on larger/more complex projects such as community facilities, pavilions, sports facilities and play provision (not least for teenagers).

5.3 List of short-term projects from which to choose

A01	Benches in parks	
	Estimated cost: £20,000-£30,000 [Informal Open Space]	Ward: Area-wide

One way forward for this project would be to make available, £20,000-30,000, say, of informal open space contributions to provide benches and seats across the area (unit costs are around £1,000 per bench). There could then be local consultation as to the location of the benches. The places suggested so far are: Byron's Pool and at a proposed New Town community orchard.

C02	Cherry Hinton community hub: pilot scheme at Cherry Hinton Library	
	Estimated cost: £9,000 [Community facilities]	Ward: Cherry Hinton

This is Stage 1 of a long-term strategy produced by Cherry Hinton Residents' Association to improve the provision of dedicated community facilities in the ward. This pilot scheme involves creating a community cafe and meeting space by reconfiguring the use of space within the library and adapting the toilet area. The Residents' Association has been working with Community Development officers and the County Library Service and is ready to proceed. There is a separate report on this agenda which asks South Area members (subject to this project being prioritised) to approve the Stage 1 works and allocate funding. This could be a stand-alone project: going forward with Stage 1 would not oblige the Area Committee to prioritise later stages of the Cherry Hinton community hub project in due course.

C04	Make the nature reserves more accessible, interesting and informative	
	Estimated cost: £30,000 [Informal open space]	Ward: Cherry Hinton

This project would relate to the nature reserves at Lime Kiln Close and Lime Kiln Chalk Pit. It could include information boards about interesting features of the nature reserves, better gates to the nature reserves and improvements to the paths.

C05a	Improve/add information boards about local history and the natural environment on open spaces in the village	
	Estimated cost: £10,000 [Informal open space]	Ward: Cherry Hinton

The thinking behind this suggestion is that it would help existing and new local residents to understand local history and the flora and fauna of Church End, Mill End and Cherry Hinton more widely. Mention has also been made of improving the current information boards at Giant's Grave and Springhead. To be eligible for informal open space contribution funding, the information boards would need to be located within open space. If this project were to go forward, local volunteers would be invited to provide the information for the boards.

C08	Upgrade play equipment for young children at Cherry Hinton Recreation Ground	
	Estimated cost: £15,000-£50,000 [Provision for children & teenagers]	Ward: Cherry Hinton

This has been put forward in response to comments that the playground at Cherry Hinton Recreation Ground has become very tired and is poorly equipped. It is understood that some of the play equipment there is 15-20 years old. Consultation feedback suggested that it needs a range of modern and well-maintained play equipment to meet the physical and social development needs of young children up to ten years old.

C09	More sophisticated skate park at Cherry Hinton Recreation Ground	
	Estimated cost: £65,000 [Informal open space]	Ward: Cherry Hinton

There have been calls for more activities for teenagers at the recreation ground so that they can 'hang out' safely. Streets and Open Spaces officers, with the CHYPPS team and Police Community Support Officers, have developed ideas for the concrete mini-skate park in consultation with local young people. It would replace the current metal 'half-pipe' ramp and landscaping would help to reduce noise.

C10	Trim trail for Cherry Hinton Recreation Ground	
	Estimated cost: £30,000 [Informal open space]	Ward: Cherry Hinton

Consultation would be needed on the location and type of fitness equipment. Trim trails have been proposed for Cherry Hinton, Nightingale Avenue and Trumpington recreation grounds and it has been suggested that all three be done at the same time to achieve economies of scale. Whilst this could be done, the Area Committee will need to consider what else it might want to include in its initial set of three to five short-term priorities and what other calls it might wish to make on informal open space contribution funding in the longer-term.

C11	Smaller (five-a-side) football goals at Cherry Hinton Recreation Ground	
	Estimated cost: £4,500 - £7,500	Ward: Cherry Hinton

There could be more local consultation with recreation ground users around options (including location) for metal five-a-side goals which cost around £4,500 or 'Panna' football skills courts, which cost around £7,500. Both types can be found at the Green End Road play area in the North Area of the city.

Q06	Outdoor fitness equipment/ trim trail at Nightingale Avenue Recreation Ground	
	Estimated cost: £30,000 [Informal open space]	Ward: Queen Edith's

See comments under C10. A trim trail could, perhaps, follow the circular path around the recreation ground.

Q08b	Cricket nets at Netherhall School lower school fields	
	Estimated cost: £30,000 [Formal open space/outdoor sports]	Ward: Queen Edith's

It is understood that there is already support for this proposal amongst local groups, which would be able to make use of these facilities. The wider issue here, though, is the relative priority that the Area Committee would attach to this proposal, compared to the suggestions for a number of new/improved sports pavilions in the South Area in the longer-term.

T02	Convert Hanover Court/Princess Court laundry into a community space	
	Estimated cost: £100,000 [Community facilities]	Ward: Trumpington

Community Development and Housing officers have been working with Hanover Court and Princess Court Residents Association on projects to improve the community space between the two main buildings. Central to their plans is the re-building of the existing laundry to create a community room which would be managed by the Residents' Association. This project would create a small community facility in an area of high need.

T03	Add a porch café onto the Centre at St Paul's	
	Estimated cost: up to 50,000 [Community facilities]	Ward: Trumpington

The Centre at St Paul's is a well-regarded and well-used community facility. It has just undergone a major refurbishment of its main hall to provide a large open-plan and multi-use community hall (Phases 1 and 2). The city council contributed £59,800 in capital grants towards this work. The Centre is now planning Phase 3, which will deliver a large new foyer area to the south side of the building where residents, community groups and visitors can meet and enjoy tea and coffee. The Centre has been actively fund-raising and intends to deliver the project as soon as the necessary funds have been obtained. Feedback from the workshop highlighted the need among single elderly men for company (around 30-50 men use the Centre at St Paul's every week).

T12	Play equipment (including a double cradle swing) between Princess Court and Hanover Court	
	Estimated cost: £25,000 [Play provision]	Ward: Trumpington

Parents living in Hanover Court and Princess Court have asked for play equipment in the green area between the two buildings. A small play area would cost in the region of £25,000. Community Development officers have already carried out a consultation exercise with local residents about the idea of placing a double cradle swing there and, with a few exceptions,

there was a positive response. A double swing, with safety surfacing and installation, would cost less than £3,000. As has been mentioned under T02, this is an area of high need.

T13	Add a junior scooter park for younger children at Accordia	
	Estimated cost: £30,000 [Informal open space]	Ward: Trumpington

Streets & Open Spaces officers have been working with local residents on an innovative ramp, suitable for under ten year olds on s within the fenced play area at Kingfisher Way. This would be a small ramp that could help to meet a need not currently covered by skate parks for older children.

T14	Improve Trumpington Recreation Ground play area	
	Estimated cost: £15,000-£50,000 [Provision for children & teenagers]	Ward: Trumpington

This play area was installed around eight or nine years ago and is therefore comparatively new (particularly when compared to the one at Cherry Hinton Recreation Ground). Interactive play equipment was installed at Trumpington Rec. earlier this year.

T15	Refurbish the skate park for older children at Trumpington Recreation Ground	
	Estimated cost: £65,000 [Informal open space]	Ward: Trumpington

This would replace the current ramp (which is not well used). It could either be a wider skate park, enabling skateboarders to 'do diagonals' (more fun) or could be along the lines of the one at Jesus Green. Landscaping would help to reduce noise.

T16	Adding a fitness circuit at Trumpington Recreation Ground	
	Estimated cost: £30,000 [Informal open space]	Ward: Trumpington

See comments under C10.

6. NEXT STEPS

- 6.1 The implementation arrangements for devolved decision-making for developer contributions, reported to the Community Services Scrutiny Committee last June, identified two processes working in parallel:
 - a. area-specific priority projects to be decided by the area committee
 - b. strategic projects to be reported to the Community Services Scrutiny Committee and decided by the Executive Councillor.
- 6.2 **Arrangements for South Area projects:** Project appraisals for short-term priorities will be developed from January 2013 onwards. There will be local consultation (including ward councillors) on the details of particular schemes and checks to ensure appropriate use of specific developer contributions. Those appraisals for projects above threshold levels (being updated to reflect the new context of devolved decision-making) will be reported to the Area Committee.
- 6.3 There will be a further report to the South Area Committee on 7 March 2013 to consider longer-term proposals identified in Appendix C (as well as others not ready to be considered yet [C17a and T07] and any short-term proposals not selected in the first round of prioritisation). Some of these longer-term projects may, by then, be ready for early prioritisation, while others may require some further investigation and need to wait until a subsequent prioritisation round.
- 6.4 There will then be updates to the Area Committee, probably every six months, to provide an update on both the devolved contributions available to spend in the South Area and the progress being made on delivering on-going/priority projects. This will present further opportunities for the Area Committee to identify new priority projects.
- 6.5 **Arrangements for city-wide/strategic projects:** A report to next January's Community Services Scrutiny Committee will bring together the strategic project ideas suggested from all four area consultations. This will enable the relevant Executive Councillors to identify any initial strategic priorities to take forward within the city-wide funding available (for schemes benefiting more than one area).
- 6.6 This Community Services Scrutiny Committee report will also draw attention to projects that are currently on the 'on hold' list of the city council's Capital Plan. Whilst this includes the project for a new Pavilion at Nightingale Avenue Recreation Ground, this would primarily benefit residents within the South Area.

- 6.7 The table below sets out the suggestions for city-wide/strategic project ideas generated by the South Area workshop/consultation.

No.	Project idea
C06	Meet the shortfall in funding for improvements at Cherry Hinton Hall if the Lottery Fund application is unsuccessful
X01	Public art to mark the city's role in formulating the rules of association football 150 years ago in 1863
X02	Turn the lakes in Coleridge into a country park/nature reserve
X03	Develop a professional cycling track
X04	Funding for apple trees at Wandlebury

- 6.8 In relation to C06, please note that the city council has already provisionally allocated its funding contribution to phase 2 of the project. The outcome of bids to the Heritage Lottery Fund is expected next month and, on this basis, the latest position will be reported to the Community Services Scrutiny Committee in January 2013.
- 6.9 The Area Committee is asked whether it would wish to raise any issues about the possible uses of the city-wide contributions funding or comment on any of these strategic proposals, so that these views can be passed on to the Community Services Scrutiny Committee.

7. CONCLUSIONS

- 7.1 The South Area workshop, along with suggestions sent in by email both before and after the event, has produced a wealth of local ideas about how developer contributions funding could help to address unmet needs and provide new or improved local facilities.
- 7.2 It is worth repeating the closing comments from the Area workshop:
- Thanks to all those who have taken the time to put forward ideas. Unfortunately, it will not be possible to fund all the suggestions from the developer contributions funding available to the Area – the Area Committee will have to make some tough choices.
 - Although significant steps are being made to deliver the next set of developer contribution-funded projects in the Area, change won't happen overnight. Further work and local consultation will be needed to develop the details of priority projects.
 - This is an on-going process and the Area Committee will be able to update and add to its list of priority projects on a regular basis. There will also be a continuing dialogue with the local community,

not least to engage with young people and others who did not have their say as part of the South Area workshop.

8. IMPLICATIONS

8.1 Financial Implications: Arrangements are being made to:

- a. identify within the 2013/14 Capital Plan developer contributions funds for each Area for devolved decision-making (as well as a city-wide/strategic developer contributions fund). The use of this funding will need to be in line with the amounts assigned in Section 106 agreements for specific contribution types.
- b. seek a provisional sum for the likely overall maintenance and repairs and renewals costs that may arise from developer contribution-funded projects relating to council facilities. Where the city council provides grants (from developer contributions funds) to community groups for the provision of local projects, the general assumption is that those other organisations will meet the running costs and maintenance costs of the new/improved facilities.

8.2 Staffing Implications: Steps have been taken to both make the implementation of devolved decision-making as simple as possible, and to strengthen the capacity for project delivery. Even so, the need for each area committee to keep their list of short-term priority projects to a reasonably small number is important to ensure that the overall programme of projects across all four areas and the city-wide/strategic projects is manageable and achievable.

8.3 Equal Opportunities Implications: This issue was addressed in the report to the Community Services Scrutiny Committee in January 2012. The implications of specific priority projects will be reviewed as part of the project appraisals.

8.4 Environmental Implications: The 'very low or nil impact' of devolved decision-making was identified in the report to the Community Services Scrutiny Committee in January 2012.

8.5 Procurement: These issues will be covered in project appraisals for specific priority projects.

8.6 Consultation and communication: Following on from the approach taken so far, officers will continue to make workshop participants aware of how the project ideas from the workshops are being followed up. Arrangements for further local consultation on the details of priority projects and reaching out to hard-to-reach groups have already been mentioned in Sections 6 and 7.

- 8.7 **Community Safety:** Community safety considerations will be factored into the design of the new/improved facilities to be funded by developer contributions.

9. APPENDICES

- A. Projects over £15,000 in the South Area funded by developer contributions since 2007
- B. Existing/unallocated developer contributions available to the South Area and the overall city-wide fund (provisional analysis)
- C. Summary of all project ideas (by ward) raised at the South Area workshop in October 2012 and/or by email
- D. Queries raised by workshop participants and consultation respondents from the South Area
- E. Summary of the local facilities to be included on the growth sites on Cambridge's Southern Fringe
- F. South Area 2-page briefing paper distributed to workshop participants on 4 October 2012

10. BACKGROUND PAPERS

These background papers were used in the preparation of this report.

- South Area workshop presentation slides: 4/10/2012.
- Responses to/arising from the South Area workshop on 4/10/12. See the [Committee meetings minutes & agendas](#) web page for:
- Reports on devolved decision-making to area committees to the Community Services Scrutiny Committee on 28/6/12 (12/54/CS) & 12/1/12 (12/13/CS) and Strategy & Resources Scrutiny Committee on 10/10/11 on the interim review of area working (11/68/SR).
- Further background information about the council's approach to developer contributions (eg, the Planning Obligations Strategy Supplementary Planning Document) and devolved decision-making can be found on our [Developer Contributions](#) web page.
- See also our [Major development schemes](#) web page for details of the growth sites on Cambridge's southern fringe.

To inspect the background papers or if you have a query on the report please contact:

Author's name: Tim Wetherfield, Urban Growth Project Manager
Author's phone number: 01223 – 457313
Author's email: tim.wetherfield@cambridge.gov.uk

Projects over £15,000 in the South Area funded by developer contributions since 2007

Completed projects	Ward	Spend
Baldock Way: affordable housing	Queen Edith's	£50k-£150k
Cherry Hinton Hall: grounds improvements (phase 1)	Cherry Hinton	£15k-£50k
Cherry Hinton Village Hall changing rooms	Cherry Hinton	£50k-£150k
King George V Recreation Ground Pavilion	Trumpington	Over £500k
Netherhall School Astroturf Pitch	Queen Edith's	Over £150k
Nightingale Avenue Recreation Ground: improvements	Queen Edith's	£50k-£150k
Nightingale Avenue Recreation Ground: tennis court	Queen Edith's	£15k-£50k
Trumpington Recreation Ground outdoor space (inter-active games)	Trumpington	£15k-£50k
Wulfstan Way: local centre	Queen Edith's	£15k-50k
Wulfstan Way: affordable housing	Queen Edith's	Over £250k

On-going projects	Ward	Due	Allocated
Cherry Hinton Hall: grounds improvements (phase 1)	Cherry Hinton	Spring 2013	£15k-£50k
Southern Connections	Trumpington	Autumn 2015	£50-150k
Wulfstan Way public art commission	Queen Edith's	Late 2012	£15k-£50k

Existing/unallocated developer contributions available to the South Area and the overall city-wide fund

Provisional analysis	South Area	City-wide
Community facilities	£200,000	£300,000
Informal open space	£275,000	£275,000
Formal open space	£150,000	£150,000
Outdoor sports facilities	£5,000	£2,500
Indoor sports facilities	£5,000	£3,000
Provision for children & teenagers	£75,000	£75,000
Public art	£0	£75,000
Public realm	£0	£100,000

Sums above £25,000 are rounded down to the nearest £25,000

The amounts will change in due course as contributions (agreed in Section 106 agreements) are triggered and as funding is allocated to/spent on projects. An updated analysis (covering all four Areas) will be reported to the Community Services Scrutiny Committee in January 2013.

Notes

A. Of these unallocated developer contributions, two from South Area have expiry dates before the end of 2015. These relate to:

- around £22,000 for the provision or improvement of or access to informal open space (split 50:50 between the South Area and City-wide funds), which has to be contractually committed by September 2014;
- around £2,000 for the provision or improvement of or access to play provision (for children and teenagers) contributions, which has to be contractually committed by March 2015.

It will be important to make sure that these contributions can be allocated to initial project priorities in order to ensure that the contributions can be used appropriately by their expiry dates.

The fall-back position was set out in the report to the Community Services Scrutiny Committee on 'Devolved decision-making to area committees' in January 2012. This highlighted that, in the event that an area committee failed to allocate funding to the delivery of any project within three years of receipt of the developer funding, the executive councillor (following scrutiny) could intervene and reallocate that money to a scheme that would be delivered within the legal agreement deadline.

- B. The table in Appendix B does not include unallocated developer contributions from to the redevelopment of the Cambridge Station area for particular uses have been stipulated within the S106 agreement:
- around £47,000 for the provision or improvement of facilities at The Junction or other such community facility;
 - around £1,800 remaining from a contribution for play area provision in the vicinity of the development; and
 - £30,000 for the installation of CCTV in the vicinity.
- C. Within current project allocations in the South Area, there is around £60,000 remaining in the New Town Community Development Capital Grant Programme. When the programme was set up, it was stipulated that it should be funded from community facilities contributions, so it cannot be spent on projects that relate to other contribution types, such as play provision or informal open space.

Devolved decision-making provides an opportunity for the South Area Committee to consider whether to fund some of the non-community facilities projects for New Town, which can be found in Appendix A (including proposals T06a, T07, T10, T12, T13 and T18). Indeed, the Area Committee may also wish to fund some of New Town's community facility-related project proposals too (eg, T01, T02 and T03).

Project ideas from the South Area with assessment of eligibility for city council developer contributions

- This is a summary and, as such, it cannot reflect all the details and nuances from the workshop discussions and/or emails. Some project ideas shown here bring together a number of related suggestions.
- The Area Committee is unlikely to be able to fund all eligible project ideas from the contributions available and will need to prioritise.
- This assessment of eligibility for developer contributions is provisional (see paragraph 4.3). Further discussion will be needed with relevant organisations.
- For an explanation of why some ideas have been assessed as longer-term projects, please see paragraph 4.4.
- Projects identified as deliverable in the short-term are covered in Section 5, while city-wide projects are considered in paragraphs 6.6-6.8.
- Key to contribution types: CF = community facilities; FOS/OSF = formal open space and/or outdoor sports facilities; ISF = indoor sports facilities; IOS = informal open space; Play = provision for children and teenagers; PA = public art; and PR = public realm.

No.	Summary of project idea	Eligible?	Comments
AREA-WIDE			
A01	Benches in parks [IOS]	Yes	Could be delivered in the short-term. Links to T10 and T11.
A02	Benches on streets [PR]	Possible	Longer-term project.
CHERRY HINTON WARD			
C01	Refurbish family centre at Cherry Hinton Baptist Church [CF]	Yes	Longer-term project.
C02	Cherry Hinton community hub: pilot scheme at library [CF]	Yes	Could be delivered in the short-term.
C03	Cherry Hinton community hub: later stages - extend library or build bespoke new community centre [CF]	Yes	Longer-term project. Linked to the possible development of land next to Colville/Augers Roads

No.	Summary of project idea	Eligible?	Comments
C04	Make the nature reserves more accessible, interesting and informative [IOS]	Yes [IOS]	Improved access and noticeboards could be delivered in short-term.
C05a C05b	Improve/add information boards on history & natural environment of Church End, Mill End & the village	Yes Possible	Boards in open spaces [IOS] could be delivered in short-term. Others [PR] would be a longer-term project.
C06	Meet shortfall in funding for improvements at Cherry Hinton Hall if Lottery Fund application is unsuccessful [IOS/Play]	Yes	City-wide / longer-term project. See paragraph 6.8.
C07	Provide play facilities for older children/teenagers at Lime Kiln Chalk Pits (eg BMX track or zip wire) [IOS/Play]	Possible	Longer-term project.
C08	Upgrade play equipment for young children at Cherry Hinton Recreation Ground [Play]	Yes	Could be delivered in the short-term.
C09	More sophisticated skate park at Cherry Hinton Recreation Ground [IOS]	Yes	Could be delivered in the short-term.
C10	Trim trail for Cherry Hinton Recreation Ground [IOS]	Yes	Could be delivered in the short-term.
C11	Smaller (five-a-side) football goals at Cherry Hinton Recreation Ground [FOS/OSF]	Yes	Could be delivered in the short-term.
C12	Provide roll-on/roll off goalposts at Cherry Hinton Recreation Ground	No	Local football club is being advised of other sources of funding.
C13	Solar-powered interactive games at Cherry Hinton Recreation Ground [Play]	Yes	Longer-term project.

No.	Summary of project idea	Eligible?	Comments
C14	Basketball court or multi-use games area at Cherry Hinton Recreation Ground [FOS/OSF]	Yes	Longer-term project.
C15	Create grass-cutting compost area at Cherry Hinton Recreation Ground	No	Would not address the impact of development.
C16	Demolish/rebuild pavilion at Cherry Hinton Rec. Ground with additional changing rooms/better storage space [FOS/OSF]	Yes	Longer-term project.
C17	Short-term improvements to Cherry Hinton Pavilion		
C17a	New non-slip vinyl flooring in changing rooms [FOS/OSF]	Possible, but	Defer until after the decision on C16 above.
C17b	Repaint pavilion	No	Maintenance. Internal redecoration in Sept '12.
C17c	Fix hot water for showers	No	Maintenance issues (passed to relevant manager).
C17d	Replace storage door/locks	No	
C17e	Jet-clean changing rooms	No	
C18	Public realm improvements around Colville Road car park & path to Fisher Lane [PR]	Yes	Longer-term project.
C19	Public art on Cherry Hinton green [PA]	Yes	Longer-term project.
C20	Cycle route telling story of our cultural heritage along the route [PA]. Could also provide traffic calming	No / Possible	Transport-related. Might be eligible for public art funds. Will pass to county council. Longer-term project.
C21	Streetscape improvements to Cherry Hinton High Street to supplement highways improvements [PR]	Yes	Longer-term project.

No.	Summary of project idea	Eligible?	Comments
C22	Resurface Sidney Farm Road end of Daws Lane.	No	Transport-related. Will pass suggestion on to county council
QUEEN EDITH'S WARD			
Q01	Upgrade kitchen at St John's Community Rooms [CF]	Yes	Longer-term project.
Q02	Cyber café/drop-in centre as an extension to St James' Church or Queen Edith Chapel (including kitchen upgrade) [CF]	Yes	Longer-term project.
Q03	Help Rock Road library and Morley Memorial Primary School to expand to be a community centre, with a café & meeting space [CF]	Yes	Longer-term project
Q04a	New pavilion at Nightingale Avenue Rec. Ground, with toilets, changing rooms and community room [CF/FOS/OSF)	Yes	Longer-term project. Has been linked to possible use of contributions from the Bell School site. See paragraph 6.6.
Q04b	Repair the pavilion in Nightingale Avenue park	No	Maintenance issue.
Q05	Need to place more value on undeveloped green 'lungs' & wildlife in local parks (eg, Nightingale Avenue Rec. Ground)		
Q05a	Plant trees in Nightingale Avenue Recreation Ground	Alternative funding	Already have a four-year tree-planting programme
Q05b	Protect or encourage wildlife in Nightingale Avenue Recreation Ground [IOS]	Possible	Longer-term project.
Q05c	Protect valuable older trees	No	Maintenance issue.
Q06	Outdoor fitness equipment/trim trail at Nightingale Avenue Rec. Ground [IOS]	Yes	Could be delivered in the short-term.

No.	Summary of project idea	Eligible?	Comments
Q07	Expand badminton courts behind Queen Edith Chapel [ISF]	Yes	Longer-term project.
Q08a	New cricket pavilion at Netherhall School [FOS/OSF]	Yes	Longer-term project.
Q08b	Cricket nets at Netherhall School lower school fields [FOS/OSF]	Yes	Could be delivered in the short-term.
Q09	Improve Cherry Hinton Road streetscape: mature trees, curves in road, traffic calming, cycle path [PR]	Yes	Longer-term project.
Q10	Benches on green outside Wulfstan Way shops [PA]	Already addressed	Three new benches to be provided soon as part of Wulfstan Way public art commission (see Appendix A).
TRUMPINGTON WARD			
T01	Adaptations for community use to the nuclear bunker off Brooklands Avenue [CF]	Yes	Longer-term project.
T02	Convert Hanover Court/ Princess Court laundry into a community space [CF]	Yes	Could be delivered in the short-term.
T03	Add porch café onto the Centre at St Paul's [CF]	Yes	Could be delivered in the short-term.
T04a	Extend Trumpington Bowls Club Pavilion [CF/FOS/OSF]	Yes	Longer-term project.
T05	Better parking at the King George V Pavilion on Foster Road [IOS]	Possible	Longer-term project.
T06a	Community orchard on Empty Common [IOS]	Yes	Longer-term project.
T06b	Additional allotments on Empty Common	No	Allotments contributions category only applies to city fringes growth sites.

No.	Summary of project idea	Eligible?	Comments
T07	Construction of a pedestrian bridge over Hobson's Conduit [IOS]	Possible	Defer until after South Area Committee has considered the related planning application.
T08	Improve Trumpington allotments (main site and chicken plots)	No	Allotments contributions category only applies to city fringes growth sites.
T09	Swift Tower for community orchard on Foster Road [PA]	Yes	Longer-term project.
T10	Create community orchard for New Town with rare fruit trees, benches, hard paths and barbecue area [IOS]	Possible	Identification of site and land ownership issues need to be explored. Longer-term. See A01.
T11	Add additional seating in Trumpington village and Byron's Pool.	Yes	See A01 [IOS] and A02 [PR]. Could be delivered in the short-term within open spaces.
T12	Play equipment (including double cradle swing) between Princess Court and Hanover Court [Play]	Yes	Could be delivered in the short-term.
T13	Add a junior scooter park for younger children at Accordia [IOS]	Yes	Could be delivered in the short-term.
T14	Improve Trumpington Rec. Ground play area [Play]	Yes	Could be delivered in the short-term.
T15	Refurbish the skate ramp for older children at Trumpington Recreation Ground [IOS]	Yes	Could be delivered in the short-term.
T16	Adding a fitness circuit at Trumpington Recreation Ground [IOS]	Yes	Could be delivered in the short-term.
T17	Add new information maps around Trumpington village (including the history trails) [PA]	Yes	Could be integrated with Southern Connections Public Art Project. Longer-term project.

No.	Summary of project idea	Eligible?	Comments
T18	Streetscape improvements to New Town (including more trees and public art) [PR/PA]. Traffic pressures are steadily degrading historic environment near the Catholic Church	Yes	Longer-term project. Will pass on comments about highways issues to the county council.
T19	Need secured outdoor tap for Russell Court	No	Will pass on to the relevant manager
T20	More buses between Trumpington and the city centre and the station.	No	Transport-related. Will pass suggestions on to the county council
T21	An extra Park & Ride stop in Trumpington village	No	
T22	Add direction signs around Trumpington village for pedestrians and cyclists	No	
T23	Add brown signposts to places of interest around Trumpington (eg allotments and community orchard)	No	
T24a	Issues for growth sites: As many trees as possible	N/A	Already addressed through the S106 agreements for Southern Fringe growth sites. See Appendix E.
T24b	As many playgrounds as possible	N/A	
T24c	Need a community café	N/A	
ELSEWHERE			
X01	Public art to mark the city's role in formulating the rules of association football 150 years ago in 1863 [PA]	Yes	City-wide project. This relates to Parkers Piece (Market ward).
X02	Turn the lakes (Coleridge) into a country park or nature reserve [IOS]	Possibly	City-wide / longer-term project
X03	Professional cycling track [FOS/OSF or ISF]	Yes	City-wide / longer-term project
X04	Funding for apple trees at Wandlebury	No	Outside city boundaries

Queries raised by workshop participants and consultation respondents from the South Area

	Feedback	Response
A	More resource needs to be made available for the maintenance of capital projects	Yes, we have been mindful of this issue. This is addressed in paragraph 8.1b (financial implications).
B	Need to set out a clear process for taking forward ideas in order to manage expectations	Yes. Whilst our publicity has focussed on the purposes of the consultation, there has been a clear process (eg, in last June's scrutiny committee report). Throughout, we have highlighted funding constraints and the need for priority-setting. The next steps are set out in Section 6 of this report.
C	Need more consultation with young people	Yes. Again, we have been mindful of this issue. This is addressed in paragraphs 7.2c and 8.6.
D	Need to know what facilities are being planned for the Southern Fringe growth sites	See Appendix E for a summary of the local facilities to be included on the Southern Fringe growth sites.
E	Concerns about focus on intensifying the use of existing land, rather than adding to the land available for use.	As Appendix E shows, the Southern Fringe growth sites will include open space (eg, a 60-hectare country park and 41-hectare green corridor).
F	Need to have a joined-up approach between transport-related schemes & other uses of developer contributions (eg, links between improving local parks and having crossings on busy roads so children can get there safely).	We will continue to liaise with county council colleagues on highways matters and will make them aware of the consultation feedback and the priority projects being taken by the city council using developer contributions.
G	Where is the strategic, large-scale vision for community facilities in Cambridge coming from?	Being addressed through the Local Plan process. See also paragraphs 3.4-3.6 and 6.5-6.6 on how strategic/city-wide proposals for contribution-funded projects are being addressed.

Summary of the local facilities to be included on the growth sites on Cambridge's Southern Fringe

	Trumpington Meadows	Clay Farm
Community facilities	On the Trumpington Primary School site, there will be two meeting rooms, a community store, a café area and break-out area and access to other school facilities.	Joint service centre including: a community centre with a multi-use hall and flexible meeting rooms; a community café; a youth wing with games area, band/DJ room & space for craft activities; a public library; health centre and accommodation for Police & Social Services.
Open space	Country park (60-hectares) Kick-about area in country park	Green corridor (41 hectares), including grassland, paths, trees, shrubs and wildflower meadows. Kick-about area in green corridor.
Play areas	Neighbourhood equipped area for play (larger, with wider range of play equipment for over 8s) plus several local play areas within the residential areas.	Neighbourhood equipped area for play in the green corridor, plus four local play areas within the residential areas. Provision for teenagers within green corridor. *
Sports facilities	Two junior pitches, a floodlit multi-use games area and changing rooms within the primary school site. Tennis court and a ball court by the neighbourhood play area	3G pitch and tennis courts within secondary school site, plus four grass pitches in green corridor (all managed by the secondary school).

The features of the provision for teenagers on Clay Farm will be developed in consultation with young people, but might include a BMX track, an adventure play and/or an informal basketball area.

The Bell School and Glebe Farm developments will both include local open spaces. The Bell School site will have two local play areas and Glebe Farm will have three.